



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/691,332	10/18/2000		Edward M. Housel	MBHB00-593	5214
7590 06/27/2005			EXAMINER		
Richard A. Ro			PARK, CHAN S		
Heidelberg Digital L.L.C 2600 Manitou Road				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14624			2622		
				DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/691,332	HOUSEL, EDWARD M.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	CHAN S. PARK	2622					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	:orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 /</u>	March 2005.						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers		1					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 March 2005</u> is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	o by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	, , , , ,	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		eate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/691,332 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment was received on 12/08/04, and has been entered and made of record. Currently, **claims 1-16** are pending.

## **Drawings**

- 2. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 3/25/05. However, the drawings are not acceptable.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference signs/numbers for the "trimming device" and the "instruction sheet" in fig. 1 of the Replacement Sheet. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/691,332 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

## Specification

4. The Office kindly requests the applicant to amend the Specification describing the trimming device and the instruction sheet of fig. 1 without introducing new matters.

### Response to Arguments

5. Upon review of the reference of Muramatsu et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,461,459 (hereinafter Muramatsu), the examiner notes that the reference can still be interpreted to maintain the rejection of **claims 1-10**, as currently amended.

Particularly, as amended, claim 1 now requires "automatically enabling the printer to print full-bleed". It is respectfully noted that a conventional printer automatically initiates a print engine to print a print job based on the instructions or set up information setup by a user. It is apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that a conventional printer is a computerized system. Thus, when "full bleed" is setup to print to the very edge of the paper medium, this process is automatically processed by the print engine in the printer (page 2, lines 25-26 of the Specification). Although the actual process performed by the current invention might be different from the teaching of Muramatsu, this difference is not apparent in the current claim wording. Furthermore, it is respectfully noted that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the full bleed printing method of the Admitted prior art, wherein on pages 1-4 of the Background of the Specification, with the printer of Muramatsu. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to enable the printer of Muramatsu to print in a "full bleed" setting as it is disclosed in the Admitted prior art. Since setting

up of "full bleed" printing is taught in the Admitted prior art, Examiner believes a proper prima facie case of obviousness is established.

Therefore, the rejection of **claims 1-10** is maintained and repeated in this Office Action.

6. Moreover, the Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 1-16** have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 7, 8, 15 and 16, <u>as currently amended</u>, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that claims fail to correspond in scope with that which applicant regards as the invention can be found in the Specification filed 10/18/00. In that paper, applicant has stated "[t]he operator of the trimming device may refer to the instruction sheet when setting up the trimming device" (page 7, lines 7-8 & 25-26), and this statement indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claims because the operator at the trimming device does not appear to be involved in the trimming process. Does the trimming device automatically detect the sheet and trim the print job accordingly? If yes, Examiner respectfully requests the applicant to provide

supporting evidences describing such a process from either the Specification or the Drawings.

8. Examiner kindly suggests the applicant to amend the claims to include "an operator at the trimming device" for setting up the trimming device.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramatsu in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in the Background of the Specification pages 1-4 (hereinafter Admitted prior art).

9. With respect to claim 1, Muramatsu teaches a method of automatically laying out a print job for printing on a printer having a plurality of available media sizes, wherein the print job includes a designated print area, defined by the designated length and width of the finished printed output, the method comprising the steps of:

setting up the print job, the print job comprising data denoting the length and width of the finished output (length and width calculated and set up by CPU in fig. 16); determining whether the print area is smaller than an available media size (col. 11, lines 26-41 and fig. 23);

Application/Control Number: 09/691,332

Art Unit: 2622

determining whether the print area must be rotated to fit the print area on an available media size (col. 11, lines 26-41 and fig. 23);

automatically selecting a media size from the available media (col. 8, lines 43-45);

automatically calculating the distance and direction the print area must be shifted to locate the print area on the media in such a manner as to optimize the image location on the media (col. 7, lines 38-48 & col. 13, lines 63-67);

printing the print job with the calculated image area shift and image area rotation (col. 5, lines 3-7).

It is apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that the media size and the rotating value are determined by the determination steps of (b) and (c).

Muramatsu does not teach a method of automatically enabling the printer to print full-bleed.

Admitted prior art teaches a method for setting up a print job, the print job comprising data denoting the length and width of the finished output (requesting to print on odd-size media in page 2, line 3) and a method of automatically enabling the printer or copier to print full-bleed (page 1, line 20 & page 2, lines 25-26).

Muramatsu and Admitted prior art analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, that is the printing and copying art.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the copier of Muramatsu to print full-bleed on a selected paper size.

The suggestion/motivation for the modification would have been to provide a full-bleed copier or printer that can automatically select a correct paper size and calculate the rotation and shift values. By doing so, it would have further fit the print area optically and reduced the burden for a user to manually input the rotation and shift values.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Muramatsu with Admitted prior art to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

- 10. With respect to claim 2, Muramatsu teaches that the printed output is single-sided (fig. 2).
- 11. With respect to claim 3, Muramatsu teaches that the printed output is double-sided (fig. 2).
- 12. With respect to claim 4, the combination of Muramatsu and Admitted prior art teaches the method of claim 1, wherein Admitted prior art further teaches that the printer is capable of full-bleed printing on four edges of the media (page 1, lines 19-20).
- 13. With respect to claim 5, the combination of Muramatsu and Admitted prior art teaches the method of claim 1, wherein Muramatsu teaches a method of shifting the print area to leave a margin for the binding (figs. 3 & 4). Since Admitted prior art teaches the method of printing an entire page with no unprinted margins, at the time of the invention, one would have been motivated to incorporate the shifting method of Muramatsu to leave a binding area for the binding in the full-bleed printed page. Thus, the combination of the combination of Muramatsu and Admitted prior art teaches the invention as specified in claim 5.

J

- 14. With respect to claim 6, the combination of Muramatsu and Admitted prior art teaches the method of claim 3, wherein Muramatsu teaches that the printer margin is on the leading edge (option of choosing the binding direction in figs. 3 & 4).
- 15. With respect to claim 9, the combination of Muramatsu and Admitted prior art teaches the method of claim 5, wherein Admitted prior art teaches that the printed output is single-sided (fig. 2). Since Admitted prior art teaches the method of printing an entire page with no unprinted margins, at the time of the invention, one would have been motivated to incorporate the single-sided margin shifting method of Muramatsu to leave a binding area on single-side printed page for the binding in the full-bleed printed page. Thus, the combination of the combination of Muramatsu and Admitted prior art teaches the invention as specified in claim 9.
- 16. With respect to claim 10, the combination of Muramatsu and Admitted prior art teaches the method of claim 5, wherein Admitted prior art teaches that the printed output is double-sided (fig. 2). Since Admitted prior art teaches the method of printing an entire page with no unprinted margins, at the time of the invention, one would have been motivated to incorporate the double-sided margin shifting method of Muramatsu to leave a binding area on double-side printed page for the binding in the full-bleed printed page. Thus, the combination of the combination of Muramatsu and Admitted prior art teaches the invention as specified in claim 10.

Claims 1-6 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramatsu in view of Silverbrook PCT Pub No. WO96/32725.

With respect to claim 1, Muramatsu teaches a method of automatically laying out 17. a print job for printing on a printer having a plurality of available media sizes, wherein the print job includes a designated print area, defined by the designated length and width of the finished printed output, the method comprising the steps of:

Page 9

setting up the print job, the print job comprising data denoting the length and width of the finished output (length and width calculated and set up by CPU in fig. 16); determining whether the print area is smaller than an available media size (col. 11, lines 26-41 and fig. 23);

determining whether the print area must be rotated to fit the print area on an available media size (col. 11, lines 26-41 and fig. 23);

automatically selecting a media size from the available media (col. 8, lines 43-45);

automatically calculating the distance and direction the print area must be shifted to locate the print area on the media in such a manner as to optimize the image location on the media (col. 7, lines 38-48 & col. 13, lines 63-67);

printing the print job with the calculated image area shift and image area rotation (col. 5, lines 3-7).

It is apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that the media size and the rotating value are determined by the determination steps of (b) and (c).

Muramatsu does not teach a method of automatically enabling the printer to print full-bleed.

Silverbrook, the same field of endeavor of the printing art, teaches the method of automatically enabling a printer to print full-bleed ('(2) Print area' paragraph on page 28) based on the specified constants or parameter.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the copier of Muramatsu to print full-bleed on a selected paper size.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to enable the printer of Muramatsu to print in a "full bleed" setting.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Muramatsu with Silverbrook to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

- 18. With respect to claim 2, Muramatsu teaches that the printed output is single-sided (fig. 2).
- 19. With respect to claim 3, Muramatsu teaches that the printed output is double-sided (fig. 2).
- 20. With respect to claim 4, Silverbrook teaches the method wherein the printer is capable of full-bleed printing on four edges of the media ('(2) Print area' paragraph on page 28).
- 21. With respect to claim 5, the combination of Muramatsu and Silverbrook teaches the method wherein the printer is capable of full-bleed printing on three edges and requires a printer margin on one edge of the media (accommodating non-printing margins of Silverbrook), and the printer margin is automatically accounted for in determining the appropriate print area shift and print area rotation. Since Silverbrook

teaches the method of allowing full-bleed printing wherein the print area can be set to accommodate non-printing margins, at the time of the invention, one would have been motivated to incorporate the shifting method of Muramatsu to leave a binding area for the binding in the full-bleed printed page. Thus, the combination of the combination of Muramatsu and Silverbrook teaches the invention as specified in claim 5.

- 22. With respect to claim 6, the combination of Muramatsu and Silverbrook teaches the method of claim 3, wherein Muramatsu teaches that the printer margin is on the leading edge (option of choosing the binding direction in figs. 3 & 4).
- 23. With respect to claim 9, the combination of Muramatsu and Silverbrook teaches the method of claim 5, wherein Muramatsu teaches that the printed output is single-sided (fig. 2). Since Silverbrook teaches the method of allowing full-bleed printing wherein the print area can be set to accommodate non-printing margins, at the time of the invention, one would have been motivated to incorporate the single-sided margin shifting method of Muramatsu to leave a binding area on single-side printed page for the binding in the full-bleed printed page. Thus, the combination of the combination of Muramatsu and Silverbrook teaches the invention as specified in claim 9.
- 24. With respect to claim 10, the combination of Muramatsu and Silverbrook teaches the method of claim 5, wherein Muramatsu teaches that the printed output is double-sided (fig. 2). Since Silverbrook teaches the method of allowing full-bleed printing wherein the print area can be set to accommodate non-printing margins, at the time of the invention, at the time of the invention, one would have been motivated to incorporate the double-sided margin shifting method of Muramatsu to leave a binding

area on double-side printed page for the binding in the full-bleed printed page. Thus, the combination of the combination of Muramatsu and Silverbrook teaches the invention as specified in claim 10.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Muramatsu and Silverbrook as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in the Background of the Specification pages 1-4 (hereinafter Admitted prior art).

25. With respect to claim 7, the combination teaches the method of claim 1, but it does not teach expressly the step of printing an instruction sheet accompanying the print job that comprises trimming instructions for setting up a post-printing trimming device.

Admitted prior art, the same field of endeavor of image processing the print job, teaches the step of printing (writing down) an instruction sheet accompanying the print job that comprises instructions for setting up a post-printing trimming device (page 2, lines 16-19). Since it is well know to one of ordinary skill in the art that the printer can print any data inputted by the user, instead of manually writing down the instruction, one would have been motivated to print the instructions and notify/inform the person performing the trimming with the correct/proper trimming instruction to produce a proper/correct trimming function.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the three references to obtain the invention as specified in claim 7.

Application/Control Number: 09/691,332 Page 13

Art Unit: 2622

With respect to claim 8, Admitted prior art further teaches the step wherein the trimming instructions are sent to the trimming device connected to the printer (page 2, lines 16-19).

Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramatsu in view of Silverbrook, and further in view of Shimizu U.S. Patent No. 5,040,0779.

- 27. With respect to claim 11, Muramatsu teaches a method of automatically laying out a print job for printing on a printer having a plurality of available media sizes, wherein the print job includes a designated print area, defined by the designated length and width of the finished printed output, the method comprising the steps of:
  - a. setting up the print job, the print job comprising data denoting the length and width of the finished output (length and width calculated and set up by CPU in fig. 16);
  - b. determining whether the length of the print area is smaller than a leading edge of an available media size and that the width of the print area is smaller than a lateral edge of an available media size (col. 11, lines 26-41 and fig. 23);
  - c. determining whether the length of the print area is smaller than the lateral edge of an available media size and whether the width of the print area is smaller than the trailing edge of an available media size (col. 11, lines 26-41 and fig. 23);
  - d. determining whether the print area must be rotated to fit the print area on an available media size (col. 11, lines 26-41 and fig. 23);

e. automatically selecting a media size from the available media (col. 8, lines 43-45);

- f. automatically calculating the distance and direction the print area must be shifted to locate the print area on the media in such a manner as to optimize the image location on the selected media (col. 7, lines 38-48 & col. 13, lines 63-67);
- g. printing the print job with the calculated image area shift and image area rotation (col. 5, lines 3-7).

It is apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that the media size and the rotating value are determined by the determination steps of (b) and (c).

Muramatsu does not teach a method of enabling the printer to print full-bleed.

Silverbrook, the same field of endeavor of the printing art, teaches the method of automatically enabling a printer to print full-bleed ('(2) Print area' paragraph on page 28) based on the specified constants or parameter.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the copier of Muramatsu to print full-bleed on a selected paper size.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to enable the printer of Muramatsu to print in a "full bleed" setting.

The combination of Muramatsu and Silverbrook does not teach that the method of automatically laying out a print job is for minimizing post-print trimming.

Shimizu, the same field of endeavor of image processing a print job, teach the method of calculating the distance and direction the print area must be shifted to locate

the print area on the media to optimize the image location for minimizing the post-print trimming (fig. 15 and col. 14, lines 1-38).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the automatic shifting method of Shimizu into the printer of Muramatsu.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to correctly shift image for the trimming process.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the three references to obtain the invention as specified in claim 11.

- 28. With respect to claim 12, Silverbrook teaches the method wherein the printer is capable of full-bleed printing on four edges of the media ('(2) Print area' paragraph on page 28).
- 29. With respect to claim 13, the combination of Muramatsu and Silverbrook teaches the method wherein the printer is capable of full-bleed printing on three edges and requires a printer margin on one edge of the media (accommodating non-printing margins of Silverbrook), and the printer margin is automatically accounted for in determining the appropriate print area shift and print area rotation. Since Silverbrook teaches the method of allowing full-bleed printing wherein the print area can be set to accommodate non-printing margins, at the time of the invention, one would have been motivated to incorporate the shifting method of Muramatsu to leave a binding area for the binding in the full-bleed printed page. Thus, the combination of the combination of Muramatsu and Silverbrook teaches the invention as specified in claim 5.

30. With respect to claim 14, the combination of Muramatsu and Silverbrook teaches the method of claim 13, wherein Muramatsu teaches that the printer margin is on the leading edge (option of choosing the binding direction in figs. 3 & 4).

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Admitted prior art.

- 31. With respect to claim 15, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 7, are applicable.
- 32. With respect to claim 16, arguments analogous to those presented for claim, are applicable.

#### Conclusion

33. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/691,332

Art Unit: 2622

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

34. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to CHAN S. PARK whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7409. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached on (571) 272-7402. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

csp

June 24, 2005

Chan S. Park Examiner

Art Unit 2622

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 17

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2300